

# Is a Higher Court Appealing?

## Moving Beyond Emotional Response to Strategic Analysis

Griffin Terry Sumner and Michelle Fox

“

*The question is not whether trial counsel could handle the appeal competently, but whether specialized appellate counsel might handle it better— and whether the stakes justify that investment.*

The question of whether to appeal an unfavorable verdict requires more than reflexive outrage at an unjust result. It demands careful analysis of legal merits, strategic implications, costs and precedential risks. This article explores key considerations that should inform the decision to appeal.

### **The Limited Scope of Appellate Review**

Unlike trial courts, which serve as forums for fact-finding and dispute resolution, appellate courts mainly ensure legal consistency and correct significant errors of law. This fundamental difference shapes everything about the appellate process. An appeal is not a re-trial, nor does it provide opportunities to introduce new evidence, call additional witnesses or rehabilitate a poorly tried case. Instead, appellate courts review a cold record to determine whether reversible error occurred.

In essence, the world is limited to the record on appeal. Appellate courts are constrained by standards of review that often require substantial deference to trial court findings. The clearly erroneous standard for factual

findings, the abuse of discretion standard for evidentiary rulings and harmless error doctrine all create significant hurdles to reversal. Understanding these constraints is essential to evaluating whether an appeal has realistic prospects for success.

Even seemingly obvious errors may not warrant reversal if they didn't affect the outcome. The harmless error rule requires appellants to show not just that error occurred, but that it was prejudicial. In cases with overwhelming evidence supporting the verdict, even significant evidentiary errors may be treated as harmless. On the other hand, structural errors — such as denial of the right to counsel or trial before a biased judge — require automatic reversal regardless of prejudice.

### **Evaluating Appealability and Preservation**

The threshold question in any appeal is whether the issue is even appealable. The final judgment rule generally restricts appeals to orders that fully dispose of all claims and parties. While certain interlocutory orders

are immediately appealable under specific statutory provisions or the collateral order doctrine, many rulings can be challenged only after final judgment. Counsel must understand these jurisdictional requirements to avoid wasting resources on premature appeals or missing narrow appellate windows.

Equally critical is the question of preservation. Appellate courts typically refuse to consider arguments raised for the first time on appeal, absent plain error or other extraordinary circumstances. This means trial counsel must make timely and specific objections, obtain rulings on those objections and — in some jurisdictions — renew objections or make offers of proof to preserve issues. As a result, trial counsel should be unabashed in her defense of the record as she builds the framework for appeal. Evaluating what was actually preserved for review requires careful scrutiny of the trial transcript.

The preservation requirement creates strategic challenges when trial and appellate

*(Continued on next page)*

## Raising the Bar in Real Estate Performance.

*Excellence You Can See. Results You Can Feel.*



Laura Rice & Associates  
502.595.8450  
lra@lsir.com  
lauramovesyou.com

Lenihan | Sotheby's  
INTERNATIONAL REALTY

©2025 Lenihan Real Estate, LLC. All Rights Reserved. Sotheby's International Realty® and the Sotheby's International Realty Logo are service marks licensed to Sotheby's International Realty Affiliates LLC and used with permission. Lenihan Real Estate, LLC fully supports the principles of the Fair Housing Act and the Equal Opportunity Act. Each franchise is independently owned and operated. Any services or products provided by independently owned and operated franchisees are not provided by, affiliated with or related to Sotheby's International Realty Affiliates LLC nor any of its affiliated companies.

(Continued from previous page)

counsel differ. Trial lawyers focus on winning the case before them, not necessarily on creating a pristine appellate record. They may forgo objections to maintain rapport with the judge or jury, or fail to recognize potentially reversible error in the heat of trial. Post-trial motions offer some opportunity to cure preservation defects but cannot remedy all failures. This underscores the value of consulting appellate counsel before or during trial in high-stakes cases.

### Standards of Review and Their Strategic Implications

Different issues receive different levels of appellate scrutiny, and understanding applicable standards of review is crucial to predicting outcomes. Pure questions of law receive de novo review, giving appellate courts maximum freedom to reverse. Issues involving application of law to fact typically receive more deferential review. Discretionary trial court rulings are reviewed for abuse of discretion — a difficult standard to meet. Factual findings are reviewed for clear error or substantial evidence, depending on the jurisdiction.

These standards profoundly affect appellate strategy. An appeal challenging a trial court's interpretation of a statute or contract stands on firmer ground than one attacking credibility determinations or evidentiary weight. Similarly, challenges to jury instructions often fare better than evidentiary rulings, particularly when the instruction misstated controlling law.

Standards of review also interact with preservation requirements in complex ways. While preserved errors of law receive full review, unpreserved errors typically face plain error review — a far more demanding standard requiring the appellant to show not just error and prejudice, but that the error seriously affected the fairness or integrity of the proceedings. In practice, plain error review rarely succeeds.

### Institutional Considerations and Forum Shopping

Experienced appellate counsel evaluate not just the legal issues, but the institutional context in which they will be decided. Different appellate courts have different procedural requirements, cultural norms and substantive law tendencies. Some courts decide cases largely on the briefs; others heavily weigh oral arguments. Some are receptive to policy arguments and academic authority; others stick closely to binding precedent.

Individual judges matter, too. Their prior opinions, academic writings and professional backgrounds often provide insight into how they approach particular types of cases. A panel with extensive criminal defense experience may view Fourth Amendment issues differently than one dominated by former civil practitioners.

In jurisdictions permitting publication requests or allowing parties to cite unpublished opinions, counsel must also consider whether the case will create precedent. A close question of first impression may warrant appeal even if reversal seems unlikely, particularly if establishing favorable precedent would ben-

efit the client's broader litigation interests. But some cases are better settled than appealed if the risk of creating adverse precedent outweighs potential benefits.

### The Economics of Appeals

Financial considerations should also play a significant role in appeal decisions, though they are often overlooked in the immediate aftermath of an adverse verdict. Appellate litigation costs vary based on record size, issue complexity and whether oral argument is requested or required. Substantial judgments may require expensive supersedeas bonds or other security to stay enforcement during the appeal.

The time value of money also matters. Even successful appeals often result in remand rather than outright reversal, requiring additional trial court proceedings. The delay inherent in appellate practice — often 18 months or more from notice of appeal to decision — creates opportunity costs and extends uncertainty for clients.

Appeals can also create settlement leverage. The prospect of prolonged litigation and uncertain outcomes often motivates parties to negotiate. Appellate counsel should evaluate whether filing a notice of appeal might facilitate settlement discussions, even if the likelihood of prevailing on the merits is modest.

### The Case for Specialized Appellate Counsel

Many accomplished trial lawyers face a difficult question after an adverse verdict: should they handle the appeal themselves or bring in appellate specialists? The answer depends on factors beyond competence or client relationships.

Appellate practice demands a different skill set than trial work. Trial lawyers excel at real-time advocacy, witness examination and jury persuasion. Appellate lawyers must master record distillation, issue spotting and persuasive legal writing under restrictive word limits. While many excellent litigators possess both skill sets, the differences are significant.

Fresh eyes offer distinct advantages. Trial counsel may struggle to assess their own strategic choices objectively or identify where the trial went wrong. They may be too close to the facts to recognize which issues have genuine appellate merit. Appellate specialists bring critical distance and can evaluate the record without the emotional investment that accompanies trial work.

Specialization also breeds familiarity with appellate courts and judges. Appellate specialists develop relationships with court staff, understand individual judges' analytical approaches and stay current on emerging trends in appellate jurisprudence. This institutional knowledge proves invaluable in framing arguments and anticipating the court's concerns.

Put simply, appellate litigation requires distinct expertise. As with other areas of the law, some practitioners specialize in appeals because sometimes there is a need for a higher level of knowledge, focus and understanding. The question is not whether trial counsel could handle the appeal competently, but whether specialized appellate counsel might handle it better — and whether the stakes justify that investment.

### Conclusion

The decision to appeal requires careful analysis of legal merits, procedural requirements, economic costs and strategic implications. It demands understanding of appellate standards, preservation doctrine and institutional considerations. Most importantly, it requires realistic assessment of the likelihood of success and the value of that success to the client.

By evaluating appeals systematically rather than emotionally and understanding both the opportunities and limitations of appellate review, lawyers can make informed recommendations about whether an appeal serves their clients' interests.

Not every unfavorable verdict warrants an appeal, but every potential appeal deserves thoughtful evaluation by counsel equipped to provide it.

*Griffin Terry Sumner is the Co-Chair of FBT Gibbons' Appellate Practice Group. She is a partner in the firm's Louisville office and a former chair of the LBA's Appellate Section.*



*Michelle Fox is the acting Chair of the LBA Appellate Section. She previously served as the chair of the Corporate Law (2023) and Litigation Sections (2024-2025). She is a Managing Associate in FBT Gibbons' Product, Tort, & Insurance Practice Group.* ■



**LOUISVILLE BAR ASSOCIATION**

**YOUR PROFESSION.  
YOUR ASSOCIATION.  
YOUR IMPACT.**

Several LBA Sections have open leadership roles, and we would love to see members step forward.

Section leaders help shape CLE programming, strengthen engagement within their practice areas and build meaningful connections across the Bar. The time commitment is manageable and the impact is significant.

**OPEN POSITIONS:**

- Administrative Law - Vice-Chair
- AI/IP/Privacy Law Section - Vice-Chair
- ADR/Mediation - Chair and Vice-Chair
- Environmental Law - Chair and Vice-Chair
- Public Interest Law - Chair and Vice-Chair
- Solo & Small Practice - Chair and Vice-Chair

If you are interested in serving or would like more information about available positions, please contact Lisa M. Murray at [lmurray@loubar.org](mailto:lmurray@loubar.org).



**Your best choice  
is to proceed with caution.**

Sure, there is a chance you'll never need us. But why take that chance? Lawyers Mutual is dedicated to Kentucky lawyers and makes your work our priority. Call (502) 568-6100 or visit [LMICK.com](http://LMICK.com) for more information on how you can cover and protect your practice. We want you to focus on what matters.

